Optimal Management of Static Type

Mesenteric Malperfusion in Type A Acute Aortic Dissection

The department of cardiovascular surgery, Sapporo Medical University

Kei Mukawa, Junji Nakazawa, Yutaka Iba, Tomohiro Nakajima, Tsuyoshi Shibata, Shuhei Miura, Ayaka Arihara, Keitaro Nakanishi, Takakimi Mizuno, Nobuyoshi Kawaharada



Background

remains unstandardized.

✓ Mesenteric malperfusion in type A acute aortic dissection (TAAAD) is

one of the lethal complications. Yang et al, J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.2019

Image evidence malperfusion does not always lead to end-organ ischemia,

which is often referred to as malperfusion syndrome. 2022 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Aortic Disease Therefore, the necessity of intervention for abdominal organs is unclear.

✓ Furthermore, the management of **static type** malperfusion in TAAAD



Objective

✓ This study aimed to examine the optimal management by evaluating

our strategy for static type mesenteric malperfusion in TAAAD.

Especially evaluated these two points.

1. The rate of abdominal intervention after primary central repair

2. The characteristics of abdominal intervention cases



Methods

Design Retrospective, Single-arm, Single-center

Term April, 2009 – April, 2023

Definition of mesenteric malperfusion

static obstruction of Celiac artery or SMA (Superior mesenteric artery)

by preoperative CT (computed tomography) angiography







Patients



Results - patients' characteristics -



Results - preoperative blood test -



Results - operative data and outcomes -



Results - abdominal intervention cases -

	intervention	occluded artery	abdominal pain	pre CK (U/L)	pre LD (U/L)	pre AST (U/L)	Pre Lactate (mmol/L)	Time from onset to surgery (h)	postoperative reperfusion
case 1	intestinal resection	SMA	+	203	303	25	2.4	6	+
case 2	intestinal resection	SMA	-	203	463	35	2.1	6	-
case 3	cholecystectomy	SMA	-	80	367	49	5.6	8.5	+
case 4	Cholecystectomy + bypass grafting	Celiac + SMA	-	306	509	151	4.9	6.5	-

✓ Only Case 1 presented with abdominal pain on arrival among these intervention cases.

- \checkmark Abdominal intervention was not needed for patients with symptom onset within 6 hours.
- ✓ Postoperative reperfusion failure did not lead to the abdominal intervention.



Discussion 1

✓ International registry of acute aortic dissection data showed that abdominal pain did not occur

in more than 40% of patients with mesenteric ischemia, whereas about 20% of patients

without mesenteric ischemia had pain. Paolo Berretta, J Vis Surg 2018

- This study also indicated that the intervention for abdominal organs was unpredictable

from abdominal symptoms or preoperative data.

✓ In this study, abdominal intervention did not need for 85% of patients with

image evidence malperfusion.



SAMORO NEDI

There is a gap between image evidence malperfusion and malperfusion syndrome.

Discussion 2

Recent study reported that an optimized strategy based on the duration of symptom significantly

improved the outcomes of malperfusion syndrome. Chen et al. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2024. IN PRESS

- This results indicated that TAAAD with symptom onset

beyond 6 hours showed more clearly the symptoms

of end-organ ischemia.

precisely over time.





The necessity of intervention for malperfusion could be judged



Discussion 3

✓ Another study reported that immediate primary central repair to restore

true lumen perfusion could be effective for TAAAD

with malperfusion syndrome. Brown et al. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2024.

In this study, static type mesenteric malperfusion cases with symptom onset within 6 hours was not needed

for intervention.

Prompt primary central repair could be effective.



Conclusion

✓ Immediate primary central repair could be an effective strategy

for static type mesenteric malperfusion in TAAAD.

- Surgical management based on the duration of symptoms is considered.

