Expanding Indications for Rapid Deployment Aortic Valve Replacement: Five-year Outcomes from a Single-center Experience

Seon Yong Bae, MD; Yoonjin Kang, MD, PhD; Ji Seong Kim, MD, PhD; Jae Woong Choi, MD, PhD; Suk Ho Sohn, MD; Kyung Hwan Kim, MD, PhD

Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea



Background

- Rapid deployment aortic valve replacement (RD[AVR])
 - : excellent short-term and long-term clinical outcomes
 - : Indications were highly selective, involving severe aortic stenosis

1.0 -0.8 5-year probability event-free 81.1% 0.6 95% CI, 75.5 - 86.8 0.4 0.2 0.0 2 3 4 5 255 170 74 No. at risk 287 Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier survival estimate

Table 3: Haemodynamic outcomes

Parameter	Postoperative discharge mean ± SD (n)	1 year mean±SD (n)	P-value to postoperative discharge	3 years e mean ± SD (n)	P-value to postoperative discharge	5 years mean ± SD (n)	P-value to postoperative discharge
Aortic EOA (continuity equation) (cm ²) 1.7 ± 0.2 (178)	1.7 ± 0.2 (211)	0.932	1.7 ± 0.2 (177)	0.205	1.6 ± 0.3 (57)	0.077
EOA index (cm ² /m ²)	0.9 ± 0.1 (165)	0.9 ± 0.1 (187)	0.253	0.9 ± 0.1 (154)	0.915	0.9 ± 0.2 (51)	0.686
Mean systolic gradient of AV (by continuous wave) (mmHg)	10.6 ± 4.2 (226)	9.0±3.5 (230)	0.326	9.6 ± 4.3 (185)	0.389	10.5 ± 5.4 (59)	0.188
Peak systolic gradient of AV (by continuous wave) (mmHg)	20.0 ± 7.6 (227)	16.9±6.1 (230)	0.242	17.6 ± 7.4 (185)	0.776	18.9 ± 9.3 (59)	0.426
Left ventricular mass (g)	217.8 ± 62.5 (100)	184.3 ± 47.7 (178)	0.107	186.9 ± 48.0 (135)	0.397	191.6 ± 44.2 (37)	0.583
Left ventricular mass index (g/m ²)	117.6 ± 32.1 (93)	100.5 ± 23.5 (162)		100.8 ± 23.9 (123)		104.7 ± 23.4 (34)	
Cardiac index (I/min)/m ²)	2.7 ± 0.6 (176)	2.6 ± 0.7 (191)	0.344	2.6 ± 0.6 (157)	0.608	2.6 ± 0.5 (52)	0.567
LVEF (%)	62.0 ± 10.0 (134)	63.3 ± 9.3 (146)	0.693	62.5 ± 8.1 (76)	0.346	60.0 ± 12.5 (6)	0.484

Laufer et al. EJCTS, 2017

n is the number of subjects.

SD: standard deviation; AVR: aortic valve replacement; EOA: effective orifice area, LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction.



Background

- Previous exclusion criteria
 - : pure aortic regurgitation, infective endocarditis, and bicuspid aortic valves.
- The RD valve was first used in 2016 at our institution.



Purpose

- To evaluate early- and mid-term clinical outcomes up to 5 years
- To assess early- and mid-term hemodynamic outcomes

- For various indications like
 - Isolated or concomitant RDAVR
 - bicuspid aortic valve, pure aortic regurgitation and infective endocarditis



Methods

- A single center, retrospective study
- From June 2016 to July 2023

Overall 344 patients who underwent AVR with Edwards Intuity



Methods

- Evaluation of clinical outcomes
 - Early outcomes : Operative mortality, Postoperative complications
 - Mid-term outcomes : Overall survival, Freedom from cardiac death, Freedom from aortic valve-related events (AVREs)

- Evaluation of hemodynamic outcomes
 - Early, 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year Echocardiographic follow-up was done after AVR



Methods



Figure 1. Flow diagram of patient enrollment.



Figure 2. Operative techniques of RDAVR using videoscope

Results

Baseline chara	acteristics		
	Study population		
variable	(n = 344)		
Female, n (%)	159 (46.2%)		
Age	68.9 ± 9.8		
BSA	1.66 ± 0.19		
Risk factors, n (%)			
Stroke	29 (8.4%)		
СКD	71 (20.6%)		
Dialysis	15 (4.4%)		
CAD	68 (19.8%)		
Afib	41 (11.9%)		
EF < 35%	17 (4.9%)		
Etiology			
Degenerative	123 (35.8%)		
Bicuspid	176 (51.2%)		
Rheumatic	10 (2.9%)		
Infectious	4 (1.2%)		
Connective tissue ds.	2 (0.6%)		
PVF	8 (2.3%)		
Pure AR	20 (5.8%)		
Emergency op	5 (1.5%)		

Op da	ta		
Variable	Study population		
	(n = 344)		
Isolated AVR, n (%)	90 (26.2%)		
Concomitant op, n (%)			
Aorta	168 (48.8%)		
MV	70 (20.3%)		
Maze	31 (9.0%)		
TV	24 (7.0%)		
CABG	19 (5.5%)		
Procedural times			
CPB time, mins	164 (143, 195)		
ACC time, mins	112 (94, 134)		
Valve size, n (%)			
19 mm	50 (14.5%)		
21 mm	106 (30.8%)		
23 mm	94 (27.3%)		
25 mm	59 (17.2%)		
27 mm	35 (10.2%)		

Early clinical outcomes

Variable	Study population (n = 344)		
Op mortality, n (%)	11 (3.2%)		
Postop cx, n (%)			
POAF	133 (38.7%)		
АКІ	51 (14.8%)		
Respiratory cx	36 (10.5%)		
Low cardiac output	12 (3.5%)		
Bleeding reop	12 (3.5%)		
Stroke	10 (2.9%)		
PPM implantation	5 (1.5%)		
Mediastinitis	3 (0.9%)		
Infective endocarditis	0 (0.0%)		

Results

Mid-term clinical outcomes



PostOp 5 years

Overall survival : 86.9 %

- Cardiac death : 6.3 %
- ► AVREs : 21.7 %
- ▶ PPI : 4.8 %

Results

SN

Hemodynamic outcomes







20

15

10

5

0

Overall

19mm

21mm

23mm

25mm

27mm

Conclusion

- Isolated or concomitant AVR using RD valves
 - : for various aortic valve diseases
 - bicuspid aortic valve, pure aortic regurgitation, and infective endocarditis
 - : excellent clinical and hemodynamic outcomes for up to 5 years.



