

Feasibility of Endovascular Arch Repair After Surgery For Type A Acute Aortic Dissection.

Insights For A Lifetime Management of Aortic Dissection



April 25–26, 2024 New York, NY, USA Augusto D'Onofrio (1), Raphael Caraffa (2), Giorgia Cibin (1), Domenico Crea (1), Alberto Bortolato (1), Domenico Mangino (2), Michele Antonello (3), Michele Piazza (3), Gino Gerosa (1)

- 1. Department of Cardiac Surgery, University of Padova, Italy
- 2. Department of Cardiac Surgery, Ospedale dell'Angelo, Mestre-Venice, Italy
- 3. Department of Vascular Surgery, University of Padova, Italy



Background

Endovascular repair of the aortic arch with branched stent-grafts (Ar-TEVAR) has shown good early and mid-term results

 Ar-TEVAR may be a viable option for patients with history of surgery for type-A acute aortic dissection (TAAAD) due to the proximal landing in a vascular graft and reduced invasiveness

Ar-TEVAR is not always feasible due to anatomical limitations

Aim of the study



The aim of this retrospective, multicenter study was to assess Ar-TEVAR feasibility with two devices (single and double-branch) in patients with a history of surgery for TAAAD and to identify reasons for infeasibility, particularly those modifiable during the first operation (e.g. a short ascending graft) in order to enhance Ar-TEVAR feasibility rate.

Methods (1)



- Study period: January 2012 September 2023
- Two study sites
- Inclusion criteria
 - Surgery limited to ascending and/or hemiarch replacement (with no reimplantation of any supra-aortic vessel) at first operation
 - Pre-discharge angio-CT scan available and of good quality
- Exclusion criteria
 - No adequate postoperative angio-CT scan
 - No residual aortic arch dissection, total arch replacement (or reimplantation of at least one supra-aortic vessel)

Methods (2)



Evaluation of Ar-TEVAR feasibility with the two study devices

Secondary endpoint

- Identification of the causes of infeasibility overall and separately for the two study devices
- Pre-discharge angio-CT scans were analyzed by two independent evaluators and feasibility was assessed according to the anatomical requirements of each device provided by the manufacturers

Study devices



- Single-branch
- Off-the-shelf
- Dual module



- Double-branch
- Custom made



Results (2)





Results (3)



Single-branch feasibility

38	31.9%
34	89.5%
4	10.5%
81	68.1%
39	48.1%
33	84.6%
7	17.9%
2	5.1%
19	37.0%
45	55.6%
28	62.2%
17	37.8%
9	20.0%
23	29.6%
	38 34 4 81 39 33 7 2 19 2 19 45 28 17 9 23



Double-branch feasibility

Feasible	42	35.3%
Not Feasible	77	64.7%
Unsuitable Proximal Landing Zone	53	68.8%
Length of Ascending Aorta	37	69.8%
Kinking of Ascending Aorta	21	39.6%
Mechanical valve	2	3.8%
Isolate Unsuitable Proximal Landing Zone	22	28.6%
Unsuitable Supra-Aortic Vessels	45	58.4%
All 3 Vessels Dissected	28	62.2%
BCT uninjured, but LSA and LCCA involved	17	37.8%
Isolate unsuitable for Supra-Aortic Vessels Dissection	24	31.2%

Potential feasibility with ascending graft of appropriate length



SURGICALLY MODIFIABLE FACTORS

→ IMPROVING FEASIBILITY OF PROXIMAL LANDING ZONE





→ IMPROVING FEASIBILITY OF PROXIMAL LANDING ZONE

OVERALL, **41.7%** OF THE POPULATION WAS DEEMED **INELIGIBLE SOLELY DUE TO AN INADEQUATE PROXIMAL LANDING ZONE**.



Conclusions

- Ar-TEVAR with Single-branch and Double-branch stent-grafts is feasible overall in nearly half of patients with a history of surgery for TAAAD
- Unsuitable proximal landing zone and unsuitable supra-aortic vessels were the main causes of infeasibility
- A short ascending graft made one third of patients unsuitable
- If surgeons implant a longer ascending graft, feasibility may increase up to two thirds of patients
- Take-home message:
 - Lifetime management of patients with TAAAD commences at the time of the first operation with appropriate operative planning and optimal surgical strategy