



Autogenous Patch Angioplasty With Saphenofemoral Junction As An Additional Benefit Of In Situ Bypass.



Xander Jacobson, MD¹, Elizabeth Pinchman, B.S.², Jeffrey C. Hnath, MD³, R. Clement Darling III, MD³

¹Albany Medical College, Albany Medical Center Department of General Surgery, Albany, NY

²Albany Medical College, Albany, NY

³Albany Medical Center Department of Vascular Surgery, Albany, NY

Introduction

- ❖ One of the benefits of this in situ bypass for lower extremity ischemia is the ability to use a cuff of the femoral vein at the saphenofemoral junction as a patch angioplasty for the closure of the femoral artery.
- ❖ *Objective:* The purpose of this study is to evaluate outcomes for lower extremity in-situ bypass with and without femoral endarterectomy and using the hood of the femoral vein as a patch angioplasty versus a prosthetic patch after endarterectomy.

Methods

- ❖ Single academic center vascular group, retrospective study
- ❖ 10-year time course, date range: 1/1/2013 – 12/31/2022
- ❖ (1) In situ bypass without femoral endarterectomy (ISB)
- ❖ (2) In situ bypass with **saphenofemoral junction vein cuff (ISBV)** for endarterectomy closure.
- ❖ (3) In situ bypass with use of **patch (ISBP)** for endarterectomy closure.
- ❖ Data collected included demographics, indication, operative details, and outcomes.
- ❖ Groups were compared using standard statistical Chi Square analysis.

Results

- ❖ **Demographics:**
 - ❖ 1,750 lower extremity in-situ bypasses were performed, 66% ISB without use of patch or vein cuff, 24.5% with saphenofemoral vein cuff, and 9.5% with patch over endarterectomy closure.
 - ❖ ISBP significantly higher burden of CAD & COPD as would be expected compared to other groups.
 - ❖ ISBV significantly higher burden of HLD as would be expected compared to other groups.
- ❖ **Indications:**
 - ❖ Majority (94.6%) of indications for surgery were claudication (22.0%), rest pain (25.1%), non-healing ulcer (23.8%), gangrene (23.7%).
 - ❖ Other indications include aneurysms (2.1%) or thrombosis/embolism (3.3%).
 - ❖ No significant difference in indication between groups [$\chi^2 = 16.9070, p = 0.1531$] when adjusted for aneurysms (for which ISBP had a sample size of 0).
- ❖ **Inflow/Outflow**
 - ❖ Superficial femoral artery as inflow was significantly higher in ISB vs ISBP/ISBV ($p < .0001$).
 - ❖ Associated with a significantly higher CFA inflow among ISBP/ISBV ($p < .0001$).
 - ❖ Anterior tibial artery as outflow was higher in ISB vs ISBP/ISBV ($p = .024$).
- ❖ **Outcomes:**
 - ❖ Perioperative Mortality similar between groups ($p=.699$).
 - ❖ Readmission rates trended higher in ISBP ($p=.052$).
 - ❖ Post-operative infections were similar ($p=.107$).
 - ❖ **Lymphoceles and seromas were significantly higher in ISBP ($p=.0013$).**
 - ❖ **Early occlusion rates were higher in ISB ($p=.037$), but overall revision rates were not significant ($p=.082$).**
 - ❖ Major amputation rates were similar among groups ($p = .234$).
 - ❖ Minor amputation rates were higher among ISBP ($p = 0.0007$).

Demographics	ISB	ISBV	ISBP	Total	Chi Square (χ^2)	p
Total	1155 66.00%	429 24.51%	166 9.49%	1750		
Male	791 68.48%	283 65.97%	107 64.46%	1181 67.49%	1.6698	0.4300
Female	364 31.52%	146 34.03%	59 35.54%	569 32.51%		
Diabetes	450 38.96%	178 41.49%	51 30.72%	679 38.80%	5.8825	0.0528
Hypertension	705 61.04%	288 67.13%	103 62.05%	1096 62.63%	4.9896	0.0825
Coronary Artery Disease	315 27.27%	137 31.93%	60 36.14%	512 29.26%	7.4882	0.0237
COPD	133 11.52%	50 11.66%	31 18.67%	214 12.23%	7.1057	0.0286
Hyperlipidemia	601 52.03%	257 59.91%	91 54.82%	949 54.23%	7.8357	0.0199
Chronic Renal Disease	79 6.84%	25 5.83%	14 8.43%	118 6.74%	1.3436	0.5108
Current Smoker	315 27.27%	128 29.84%	48 28.92%	491 28.06%	1.0858	0.5810
Age (mean)	67.2	68.6	66.7	67.5		
Age (range)	22 - 98	44 - 96	40 - 88	22 - 98		

Outcomes	ISB	ISBV	ISBP	Total	Chi Square (χ^2)	p
Mortality	16 1.39%	6 1.40%	1 0.60%	23 1.31%	0.7170	0.6987
Readmission (w/in 30 days)	85 7.36%	43 10.02%	20 12.05%	148 8.46%	5.9223	0.0518
Infection Rate	66 5.71%	36 8.39%	8 4.82%	110 6.29%	4.4760	0.1067
Seroma/Lymphocele	26 2.25%	11 2.56%	12 7.23%	49 2.80%	13.3305	0.0013
Occlusion	92 7.97%	21 4.90%	7 4.22%	120 6.86%	6.6185	0.0365
Revision (overall)	90 7.79%	33 7.69%	5 3.01%	128 7.31%	5.0118	0.0816
Total Amputations	65 5.63%	20 4.66%	15 9.04%	100 5.71%	4.2976	0.1166
Major Amputations	51 4.42%	11 2.56%	6 3.61%	68 3.89%	2.9073	0.2337
Minor Amputations	14 1.21%	9 2.10%	9 5.42%	32 1.83%	14.5570	0.0007

Conclusion

- ❖ In situ bypass has proven to offer excellent limb salvage and patency rates.
- ❖ One of the added benefits is the ability to use a cuff of femoral vein at the saphenofemoral junction as a patch angioplasty after femoral endarterectomy.
- ❖ This series demonstrates that the use of the femoral vein cuff as an autogenous patch angioplasty offers equivalent patency and limb salvage outcomes compared to prosthetic patch
- ❖ Offer a benefit in terms of lymphatic complications too